

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/660,990
 09/12/2003
 John D. Hottovy
 CPCM:0023/FLE210318US1
 6088

 7590
 07/01/2004
 EXAMINER

Fletcher Yoder Attn: Michael G. Fletcher P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289 LU, C CAIXIA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1713

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/660,990	HOTTOVY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Caixia Lu	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	n tne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. √2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange replacement or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Application/Control Number: 10/660,990 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15, drawn to a polymerization process, classified in class 526, subclass 64.
  - II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a loop reactor, classified in class 422, subclass132.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the loop reactor can be used to prepare a condensation solid polymer product.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,990

Art Unit: 1713

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

- 6. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Michael Fletcher on June 22, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 8-9, the limitation of "desired range" renders the instant claims indefinite since the metes and bounds of "desired range" are not defined.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(E) as being anticipated by Kendrick et al. (US 2002/0173598 A1).

In claims 161 and 162 of page 17, Kendrick claims a polymerization process in a loop reactor comprising feeding the catalyst into the reactor from multiple inlets, feeding monomer, comonomer, diluent and other additives to the reactor through multiple feed inlets, and discharging the polymer slurry intermediate product through at least two discharge conduits; wherein the feed inlets are symmetrically arrange around the reactor and the reaction kinetics are maintain constant. The multiple feed inlets and multiple discharge conduits are demonstrated in Figs. 5 and 6 with explanations in paragraphs [0064] and [0065] on page 6, and paragraphs [0071] on page 7. Kendrick teaches that the difference of the reactant monomer concentrations, measured in wt.%, taken at any two points along the loop reactor is within 20% of the higher value [paragraph [0063]. The range of ethylene concentration of Kendrick's Example 3 and 4 are 0.4 and 1.0 wt.% respectively.

Kendrick's teaching encompasses the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1713

Similar rejections are also applied to the teaching of Kendrick et al. (US 2003/0161765 A1), see Fig. 5 and 6, paragraphs [0074] to [0082].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner June 26, 2004